

Random Functions

Jan Reimann

Institut für Informatik, Universität Heidelberg

Random reals and random sequences

- When we speak of random **reals**, we usually mean a random **infinite binary sequence** (which represents a real).

Random reals and random sequences

- When we speak of random **reals**, we usually mean a random **infinite binary sequence** (which represents a real).
- **Justification:** randomness is **base invariant**. The g -ary expansion of a real number is ML-random iff the h -ary is $(g, h \geq 2)$.

Random reals and random sequences

- When we speak of random **reals**, we usually mean a random **infinite binary sequence** (which represents a real).
- **Justification**: randomness is **base invariant**. The g -ary expansion of a real number is ML-random iff the h -ary is ($g, h \geq 2$).
- This invariance contrasts **normality**, which is not base-independent. (**Cassels, 1959**).

Random reals and random sequences

- When we speak of random **reals**, we usually mean a random **infinite binary sequence** (which represents a real).
- **Justification**: randomness is **base invariant**. The g -ary expansion of a real number is ML-random iff the h -ary is ($g, h \geq 2$).
- This invariance contrasts **normality**, which is not base-independent. (**Cassels, 1959**).
- Base-invariance of randomness has been proved by a number of people: **Calude and Jürgensen (1994)**, **Staiger (1998)**, **Hertling and Weihrauch (1998)**.

Conservation of randomness

- Base-invariance is already **implicit** in a fundamental result by **Levin** (1974): **Conservation of randomness**.

Conservation of randomness

- Base-invariance is already **implicit** in a fundamental result by **Levin** (1974): **Conservation of randomness**.
- Let μ be a measure on 2^ω , $\Phi : 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$ a transformation. This induces an **image measure** μ_Φ :

$$\mu_\Phi[\sigma] = \mu\Phi^{-1}[\sigma]$$

Conservation of randomness

- Base-invariance is already **implicit** in a fundamental result by **Levin** (1974): **Conservation of randomness**.
- Let μ be a measure on 2^ω , $\Phi : 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$ a transformation. This induces an **image measure** μ_Φ :

$$\mu_\Phi[\sigma] = \mu\Phi^{-1}[\sigma]$$

- **Theorem:** [Levin] If Φ is **computable** and ξ is μ -random, then $\Phi\xi$ is μ_Φ -random.

Continued fractions

- There is another important representation of reals: The **continued fraction expansion**.

Continued fractions

- There is another important representation of reals: The **continued fraction expansion**.
- For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, let $[\alpha]$ denote the integral part, and $\{\alpha\}$ denote the non-integral part of α , so $\alpha = [\alpha] + \{\alpha\}$, $[\alpha] \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\{\alpha\} \in [0, 1)$.

Continued fractions

- There is another important representation of reals: The **continued fraction expansion**.
- For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, let $[\alpha]$ denote the integral part, and $\{\alpha\}$ denote the non-integral part of α , so $\alpha = [\alpha] + \{\alpha\}$, $[\alpha] \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\{\alpha\} \in [0, 1)$.
- Given α , set $\alpha_0 = \alpha$ and let, for $n \geq 0$,

$$a_n = [\alpha_n] \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{n+1} = \frac{1}{\{\alpha_n\}}.$$

(Stop if $\{\alpha_n\} = 0$.)

Continued fractions

- There is another important representation of reals: The **continued fraction expansion**.
- For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, let $[\alpha]$ denote the integral part, and $\{\alpha\}$ denote the non-integral part of α , so $\alpha = [\alpha] + \{\alpha\}$, $[\alpha] \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\{\alpha\} \in [0, 1)$.
- Given α , set $\alpha_0 = \alpha$ and let, for $n \geq 0$,

$$a_n = [\alpha_n] \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{n+1} = \frac{1}{\{\alpha_n\}}.$$

(Stop if $\{\alpha_n\} = 0$.)

- The process is **finite** iff α is **rational**.

The continued fraction expansion

- For irrational numbers, the partial convergents

$$[a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n] := \frac{p_n}{q_n} = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \dots + \frac{1}{a_n}}}} \quad a_i \in \mathbb{N}$$

converge to α .

The continued fraction expansion

- For irrational numbers, the partial convergents

$$[a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n] := \frac{p_n}{q_n} = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \dots + \frac{1}{a_n}}}} \quad a_i \in \mathbb{N}$$

converge to α .

- The continued fraction expansion induces a bijection between the irrational reals and the set of all infinite sequences of natural numbers, the Baire space $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

A few examples

- Continued fractions are extremely important in **number theory**. (We will see later why.)

A few examples

- Continued fractions are extremely important in **number theory**. (We will see later why.)
- Golden mean $(1 + \sqrt{5})/2 = [1, 1, 1, 1, \dots]$.

A few examples

- Continued fractions are extremely important in **number theory**. (We will see later why.)
- Golden mean $(1 + \sqrt{5})/2 = [1, 1, 1, 1, \dots]$.
- $\sqrt{2}/2 = [1, 2, 2, 2, \dots]$.

A few examples

- Continued fractions are extremely important in **number theory**. (We will see later why.)
- Golden mean $(1 + \sqrt{5})/2 = [1, 1, 1, 1, \dots]$.
- $\sqrt{2}/2 = [1, 2, 2, 2, \dots]$.
- $e \bmod 1 = [1, 2, 1, 1, 4, 1, 1, 6, \dots]$.

A few examples

- Continued fractions are extremely important in **number theory**. (We will see later why.)
- Golden mean $(1 + \sqrt{5})/2 = [1, 1, 1, 1, \dots]$.
- $\sqrt{2}/2 = [1, 2, 2, 2, \dots]$.
- $e \bmod 1 = [1, 2, 1, 1, 4, 1, 1, 6, \dots]$.
- $\pi \bmod 1 = [7, 15, 1, 292, 1, 1, \dots]$.

Random continued fractions

- As the transformation is effective, we would expect invariance to hold for continued fractions (cf), too.

Random continued fractions

- As the transformation is effective, we would expect invariance to hold for continued fractions (cf), too.
- **But:** what is a **random continued fraction**?

Random continued fractions

- As the transformation is effective, we would expect invariance to hold for continued fractions (cf), too.
- **But:** what is a **random continued fraction**?
- One can think of **two possibilities** to define this:

Random continued fractions

- As the transformation is effective, we would expect invariance to hold for continued fractions (cf), too.
- **But:** what is a **random continued fraction**?
- One can think of **two possibilities** to define this:
 - Say a cf is **random** if the sequence obtained by the binary expansion of the accordant real is random.

The ‘randomness machinery’

- **Measure theoretic** [Martin-Löf]:

A sequence is random if it is **not an effective nullset**.

The ‘randomness machinery’

- **Measure theoretic** [Martin-Löf]:
A sequence is random if it is **not an effective nullset**.
- **Information theoretic** [Kolmogorov; Levin; Chaitin]
A sequence is random if it is **incompressible**.

The ‘randomness machinery’

- **Measure theoretic** [Martin-Löf]:
A sequence is random if it is **not an effective nullset**.
- **Information theoretic** [Kolmogorov; Levin; Chaitin]
A sequence is random if it is **incompressible**.
- **Schnorr’s Theorem**: Both approaches yield the same concept.

The ‘randomness machinery’

- **Measure theoretic** [Martin-Löf]:
A sequence is random if it is **not an effective nullset**.
- **Information theoretic** [Kolmogorov; Levin; Chaitin]
A sequence is random if it is **incompressible**.
- **Schnorr’s Theorem**: Both approaches yield the same concept.
- **Key ingredient to the proof**: **Coding Theorem** [Zvonkin-Levin].

Measure on Baire Space

- **Basic open cylinders:** convergents of continued fractions, $[a_0, \dots, a_n]$.

Measure on Baire Space

- **Basic open cylinders:** convergents of continued fractions, $[a_0, \dots, a_n]$.
- In the following, identify an initial segment $[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ of a continued fraction with the n -**convergent**

$$\frac{p_n}{q_n} = \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \dots + \frac{1}{a_n}}}}.$$

Measure on Baire Space

- **Basic open cylinders**: convergents of continued fractions, $[a_0, \dots, a_n]$.
- In the following, identify an initial segment $[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ of a continued fraction with the n -**convergent**

$$\frac{p_n}{q_n} = \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \dots + \frac{1}{a_n}}}}.$$

- The **diameter** of a cylinder (as a subset of $[0, 1]$) is:

$$\text{diam}[a_0, \dots, a_n] = \frac{1}{q_n(q_n + q_{n-1})}$$

Effective measure

- A set $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is **effectively null**, if there is a recursive sequence (C_n) of r.e. sets such that for each n ,

$$\mathcal{A} \subseteq \bigcup_{w \in C_n} [w] \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{w \in C_n} \text{diam}[w] \leq 2^{-n}.$$

Effective measure

- A set $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is **effectively null**, if there is a recursive sequence (C_n) of r.e. sets such that for each n ,

$$\mathcal{A} \subseteq \bigcup_{w \in C_n} [w] \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{w \in C_n} \text{diam}[w] \leq 2^{-n}.$$

- A cf α is **random** if $\{\alpha\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is not effectively null.

Random Continued Fractions

- **Initial question:** Is randomness invariant with respect to different **representations** (g -adic, continued fraction) of a real?

Random Continued Fractions

- **Initial question:** Is randomness invariant with respect to different **representations** (g -adic, continued fraction) of a real?
- **Problem:** The continued fraction expansion might code things more efficiently.

Comparing expansions

- Let θ be irrational. Suppose $E_2(\theta) \upharpoonright_n = \theta_1\theta_2 \dots \theta_n$ are the first n digits of its binary expansion. Set

$$r_n = r_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i 2^{-i} \quad \text{and} \quad s_n = s_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i 2^{-i} + \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

Comparing expansions

- Let θ be irrational. Suppose $E_2(\theta) \upharpoonright_n = \theta_1\theta_2 \dots \theta_n$ are the first n digits of its binary expansion. Set

$$r_n = r_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i 2^{-i} \quad \text{and} \quad s_n = s_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i 2^{-i} + \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

- r_n and s_n are rational, hence their continued fraction expansions finite. Assume

$$\text{CF}(r_n) = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k] \quad \text{and} \quad \text{CF}(s_n) = [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_l].$$

Comparing expansions

- Let θ be irrational. Suppose $E_2(\theta) \upharpoonright_n = \theta_1\theta_2 \dots \theta_n$ are the first n digits of its binary expansion. Set

$$r_n = r_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i 2^{-i} \quad \text{and} \quad s_n = s_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i 2^{-i} + \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

- r_n and s_n are rational, hence their continued fraction expansions finite. Assume

$$\text{CF}(r_n) = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k] \quad \text{and} \quad \text{CF}(s_n) = [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_l].$$

- Let $N = \max\{j : a_j = b_j\}$ and set $\pi_n(\theta) = [a_1, \dots, a_N]$.

Comparing expansions

- We cannot simply convert $E_2(\theta) \upharpoonright_n$ into a continued fraction.

Comparing expansions

- We cannot simply convert $E_2(\theta) \upharpoonright_n$ into a continued fraction.
- Let $\theta = \sqrt[3]{2} - 1 = 0.259921\dots$ (decimal expansion). We have

$$r_5 = 0.25992 \quad \text{and} \quad s_5 = 0.25993.$$

Comparing expansions

- We cannot simply convert $E_2(\theta) \upharpoonright_n$ into a continued fraction.
- Let $\theta = \sqrt[3]{2} - 1 = 0.259921\dots$ (decimal expansion). We have

$$r_5 = 0.25992 \quad \text{and} \quad s_5 = 0.25993.$$

- The continued fraction algorithm yields

$$\text{CF}(r_5) = [3, 1, 5, 1, 1, 4, 2, 5, 1, 3]$$

$$\text{CF}(s_5) = [3, 1, 5, 1, 1, 5, 5, 1, 2, 1, 4, 3].$$

Therefore $\pi_5(\theta) = [3, 1, 5, 1, 1]$.

Comparing expansions

- **Question:** How does $|\pi_n(\xi)|$ relate to n ?

Comparing expansions

- **Question:** How does $|\pi_n(\xi)|$ relate to n ?
- **Theorem:** [Lochs] For almost every $\xi \in 2^\omega$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\pi_n(\xi)|}{n} = \frac{6 \log^2 2}{\pi^2}.$$

Comparing expansions

- **Question:** How does $|\pi_n(\xi)|$ relate to n ?
- **Theorem:** [Lochs] For almost every $\xi \in 2^\omega$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\pi_n(\xi)|}{n} = \frac{6 \log^2 2}{\pi^2}.$$

- At the heart of Lochs' result lies a fundamental fact about the **asymptotic behaviour of the partial convergents**.

Comparing expansions

- **Question:** How does $|\pi_n(\xi)|$ relate to n ?
- **Theorem:** [Lochs] For almost every $\xi \in 2^\omega$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\pi_n(\xi)|}{n} = \frac{6 \log^2 2}{\pi^2}.$$

- At the heart of Lochs' result lies a fundamental fact about the **asymptotic behaviour of the partial convergents**.
- **Theorem:** [Khintchine] For almost every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\frac{1}{n} \log q_n(\alpha) \longrightarrow \frac{\pi^2}{12 \log 2}.$$

Digression: Ergodic Theorem

- Let (X, μ) be some measure space (Borel), $T : X \rightarrow X$ μ -preserving, that is, $\mu A = \mu T^{-1} A$ for all A Borel. T is **ergodic** if $TA = A$ implies $\mu A \in \{0, 1\}$.

Digression: Ergodic Theorem

- Let (X, μ) be some measure space (Borel), $T : X \rightarrow X$ μ -preserving, that is, $\mu A = \mu T^{-1} A$ for all A Borel. T is **ergodic** if $TA = A$ implies $\mu A \in \{0, 1\}$.
- **Theorem: [Birkhoff]** For any continuous $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, ergodic T , and μ -almost every $x \in X$, it holds that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x) \longrightarrow \int f d\mu.$$

Digression: Ergodic Theorem

- Let (X, μ) be some measure space (Borel), $T : X \rightarrow X$ μ -preserving, that is, $\mu A = \mu T^{-1} A$ for all A Borel. T is **ergodic** if $TA = A$ implies $\mu A \in \{0, 1\}$.
- **Theorem: [Birkhoff]** For any continuous $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, ergodic T , and μ -almost every $x \in X$, it holds that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x) \longrightarrow \int f d\mu.$$

- **Gauss map** $x \mapsto \frac{1}{x} \pmod{1}$ describes the **shift map** for continued fractions. Its **entropy** is $\pi^2/6 \log 2$.

Digression: Ergodic Theorem

- Let (X, μ) be some measure space (Borel), $T : X \rightarrow X$ μ -preserving, that is, $\mu A = \mu T^{-1} A$ for all A Borel. T is **ergodic** if $TA = A$ implies $\mu A \in \{0, 1\}$.
- **Theorem: [Birkhoff]** For any continuous $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, ergodic T , and μ -almost every $x \in X$, it holds that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x) \longrightarrow \int f d\mu.$$

- **Gauss map** $x \mapsto \frac{1}{x} \pmod{1}$ describes the **shift map** for continued fractions. Its **entropy** is $\pi^2/6 \log 2$.
- However, the ergodic theorem is **not effective** (Vyugin, 1998).

Proving Invariance

- **Theorem:** The set of irrational numbers θ that do not satisfy Lochs' theorem is an effective nullset.

Proving Invariance

- **Theorem:** The set of irrational numbers θ that do not satisfy Lochs' theorem is an effective nullset.
- **Theorem:** [Faivre] For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist positive constants c, δ (depending on ε) with $0 < \delta < 1$ such that

$$\lambda \left(\left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R} : \left| \frac{|\pi_n \theta|}{n} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right) \leq c\delta^n$$

for all integers $n \geq 1$ and with $L = 6 \log^2 2 / \pi^2$.

Proving Invariance

- **Theorem:** The set of irrational numbers θ that do not satisfy Lochs' theorem is an effective nullset.
- **Theorem:** [Faivre] For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist positive constants c, δ (depending on ε) with $0 < \delta < 1$ such that

$$\lambda \left(\left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R} : \left| \frac{|\pi_n \theta|}{n} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right) \leq c \delta^n$$

for all integers $n \geq 1$ and with $L = 6 \log^2 2 / \pi^2$.

- Result is based on **transfer operators** (Mayer, Ruelle).

Properties of random continued fractions

- The use of **transfer operators** allows to effectivize a lot of results on continued fractions.

Properties of random continued fractions

- The use of **transfer operators** allows to effectivize a lot of results on continued fractions.
- **Theorem:** A random cf must have **arbitrary large** partial quotients, i.e. the numbers occurring in a typical cf are **unbounded**.

Properties of random continued fractions

- The use of **transfer operators** allows to effectivize a lot of results on continued fractions.
- **Theorem:** A random cf must have **arbitrary large** partial quotients, i.e. the numbers occurring in a typical cf are **unbounded**.
- **Theorem:** An irrational α is **badly approximable** if and only if its **continued fraction expansion** is bounded.

Diophantine Approximation

- Diophantine Approximation classifies real numbers by how well they may be approximated by rational numbers.

Diophantine Approximation

- Diophantine Approximation classifies real numbers by how well they may be approximated by rational numbers.
- Fundamental **Theorem** of **Dirichlet**: For any irrational α there exist infinitely many p/q (rel. prime) such that

$$|\alpha - p/q| \leq 1/q^2.$$

Diophantine Approximation

- Diophantine Approximation classifies real numbers by how well they may be approximated by rational numbers.
- Fundamental **Theorem** of **Dirichlet**: For any irrational α there exist infinitely many p/q (rel. prime) such that

$$|\alpha - p/q| \leq 1/q^2.$$

- A sequence of infinitely many such fractions is given by the **partial convergents** of the **continued fraction expansion** of α .

Diophantine Approximation

- In general, one cannot improve the factor 2 in Dirichlet's theorem.

Diophantine Approximation

- In general, one cannot improve the factor 2 in Dirichlet's theorem.
- A number β is **badly approximable** if there exists a K such that

$$\forall p/q \in \mathbb{Q} \quad |\beta - p/q| \geq K/q^2.$$

Diophantine Approximation

- In general, one cannot improve the factor 2 in Dirichlet's theorem.
- A number β is **badly approximable** if there exists a K such that

$$\forall p/q \in \mathbb{Q} \quad |\beta - p/q| \geq K/q^2.$$

- **Examples:**

Diophantine Approximation

- Algebraic numbers are close to badly approximable:

Diophantine Approximation

- Algebraic numbers are close to badly approximable:
- Roth's Theorem: For any algebraic α , for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{q^{2+\varepsilon}} \quad (-1)$$

has only finitely many solutions.

Properties of random continued fractions

- The use of **transfer operators** allows to effectivize a lot of results on continued fractions.

Properties of random continued fractions

- The use of **transfer operators** allows to effectivize a lot of results on continued fractions.
- **Theorem:** A random cf must have **arbitrary large** partial quotients, i.e. the numbers occurring in a typical cf are **unbounded**.

Properties of random continued fractions

- The use of **transfer operators** allows to effectivize a lot of results on continued fractions.
- **Theorem:** A random cf must have **arbitrary large** partial quotients, i.e. the numbers occurring in a typical cf are **unbounded**.
- **Theorem:** An irrational α is **badly approximable** if and only if its **continued fraction expansion is bounded**.

Properties of random continued fractions

- On the other hand, random reals **cannot be too well-approximable**.

Properties of random continued fractions

- On the other hand, random reals **cannot be too well-approximable**.
- A **Liouville number** is an irrational α for which

$$(\forall n) (\exists p, q) \left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{q^n}.$$

Example: $\sum 10^{-n!}$.

Properties of random continued fractions

- On the other hand, random reals **cannot be too well-approximable**.
- A **Liouville number** is an irrational α for which

$$(\forall n) (\exists p, q) \left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{q^n}.$$

Example: $\sum 10^{-n!}$.

- **Theorem:** [**Staiger**] No Liouville number is a random real.

Properties of random continued fractions

- It turns out that random reals must be **close to badly approximable**.

Properties of random continued fractions

- It turns out that random reals must be **close to badly approximable**.
- **Theorem:** Let $\psi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be such that $\lim_n \psi(n) = 0$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and suppose

$$\exists (p/q) \quad |\alpha - (p/q)| < \psi(q).$$

If $\sum k\psi(k) < \infty$, then α cannot be random.

Properties of random continued fractions

- It turns out that random reals must be **close to badly approximable**.

- **Theorem:** Let $\psi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be such that $\lim_n \psi(n) = 0$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and suppose

$$\exists (p/q) \quad |\alpha - (p/q)| < \psi(q).$$

If $\sum k\psi(k) < \infty$, then α cannot be random.

- This is an effective version of a theorem by **Khintchine**.